

## ERN Support and Training programme- WP17- EJP RD


### Report Workshop “Advances in diagnostic and therapeutic methods for lymph flow abnormalities”

#### Date/Venue/Format

9-10. September 2021, hybrid (online and at RUMC, Nijmegen, the Netherlands)


The first workshop and symposium on lymph flow abnormalities was financed by EJP RD, allowing Europe’s best experts to participate and present. Due to the ongoing COVID-19 measurements, and after procrastinating twice, the workshop was held online for several speakers and all participants, whereas the Dutch speakers were on site in Nijmegen, the Netherlands, in a professional media studio. The program consisted of 2 days of presentations of the best experts in the field, with the first day program focusing on the diagnosis of central lymph flow disorders, and the second day focusing on the therapy of central lymph flow disorders. Both days were well attended and the discussions after each session were lively. The workshop was rated as excellent, with high quality and useful contents. Many were disappointed that the workshop was online, however understandable. We all look forward to a second workshop in person to strengthen the European collaborations on clinical and scientific projects concerning lymph flow abnormalities.

#### Numbers (participants, speakers, ERNs represented, patient representatives):



### Analysis of the workshop satisfaction survey

20 participants have responded to the survey.




#### 4. Overall, how would you rate the quality of this workshop?

[Weitere Details](#)

[Insights](#)

● Excellent	11
● Very good	9
● Good	0
● Satisfactory	0
● Poor	0
● Unacceptable	0



5. Please rate the usefulness of this workshop contents for your future research/professional activity?

[Weitere Details](#)

Insights

<span style="color: blue;">●</span> Highly useful	18
<span style="color: orange;">●</span> Useful	2
<span style="color: green;">●</span> Not at all useful	0



6. Were the training contents appropriate for your level of knowledge/expertise?

[Weitere Details](#)

Insights


<span style="color: blue;">●</span> Too easy/basic	0
<span style="color: orange;">●</span> Just right	20
<span style="color: green;">●</span> Too difficult/complex	0



7. Please rate your satisfaction level for the following aspects of the workshop

[Weitere Details](#)


Very satisfied Satisfied Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied Dissatisfied Very dissatisfied



8. How much do you agree with the following?

[Weitere Details](#)

Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree Disagree Strongly disagree



9. Do you anticipate to use the contents learned during the workshop regularly, i.e. at least monthly in your working routine?

[Weitere Details](#)

[Insights](#)

- Yes 18
- No 0
- Maybe 2




10. What did you like about the workshop?
variety of specialists
The structured program. 1 day of diagnostics, one day of therapy.. I have learned a lot
Some interesting speakers, time for discussion, group size
The knowledge shared definitely broaden my professional experience. I admired sharing innovative techniques of visualization od the thoracic duct.
programme and multidisciplinary approach
everything although I would have preferred a live meeting
just perfect
interactivity and the small group
I thought the topics were very interesting. The speakers were experts (my opinion) on these topics, therefore their presentations were very interesting to me and I learned a lot. The organisation was excellent!
Interactive
wider the scope of possibilities of treatment
the interaction
Intersting topic
the knowledge of the speakers on the subjects was excellent. I liked the interactivity and the use of lots of patient cases to illustrate the theory.
format.
Toepasbaar in de praktijk
High quality of lectures and interactive process



<b>11. What did you dislike about the workshop?</b>
Some connections issues. I had them at the same time as other participants, only suggestion was to find a better wifi point (while using cable)
Case discussion too short
I was really disappointed by the fact, that it was 'online' version. Hopopenext year we will all have opportunity to meet in peron.
no social meetings
nothing
that we could not meet in person
The only thing I can think of, is that I would have preferred a live workshop. Of course, it is nobody's fault that that wasn't possible.
not much ; )
not applicable
nothing
Nothing
nothing
geen

<b>12. Could you please comment on ways the workshops (and related procedures) could be improved?</b>
next time live!
Live would be better. Unfortenately, this was not possible.
mpore time for case discussion
perfect online meeting
next time live including socializing
more case discussions
even more interdisciplinarity
I don't have any suggestions, I really enjoyed this workshop.
the were good
geen
Next time live :)

13. How important are CME points for you for this kind of training events?



- Extremely important  
(I would register only if the)
- Neutral  
(CME points are important, but I would register for a training event based on the programme/content)
- Irrelevant  
(for me receiving CME points for such training events is not important at all)